

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/029,425	12/18/1998	Rudolf Muller	60174-012 6051		
75	90 02/17/2005		EXAMINER		
Raymond E Scott			COZART, JERMIE E		
Howard & Howard Suite 101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1400 North Woodward Avenue			3726		
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304-2856			DATE MAILED: 02/17/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

MAR 0 8 2005

TECHNOLOGY CENTER RA799

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/029,425	MULLER, RUDO	OLF			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jermie Cozart	3726				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence ad	ldress			
This application is abandoned in view of:						
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of № period for reply (including a total extension of time of	/lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _	·				
(b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 to	I Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	mendment which place (3) a timely filed (aces the Request for			
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.						
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory posterior (PTOL-85).	s received on (with a Certific eriod for payment of the issue fee (ar	ate of Mailing or Tr nd publication fee) s	ransmission dated set in the Notice of			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$_	 *			
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).	uired by, and within the three-month	period set in, the No	otice of			
 (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	nsmission dated), which is			
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by th the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire	interest, or all of			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	n attorney or agent (acting in a repres	sentative capacity u	nder 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clai	rence rendered on and becausems.	se the period for see	eking court review			
7. The reason(s) below:						
		Jumu, J. Jermie Cozart Examiner Art Unit: 3726	Syl			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to						
minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notice	of Abandonment	Part of Pa	per No. 20050208			

	09/029,425 MULLER, RUDOLF)LF				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jermie Cozart	3726					
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>pending</u>						
(1) <u>Jermie Cozart</u> .	(3)						
(2) Greg DeGrazia.	(4)		:				
Date of Interview: <u>7 February 2005</u>	Time: <u>9:40AM</u>						
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:							
Part I.							
Rejection(s) discussed:							
Claims discussed:							
Prior art documents discussed:							
Part II.	·						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet							
Part III.							
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 							
·							
			_				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appr	ropriate)				

Application No.

ij

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephone conversation with Greg DeGrazia, the Examiner informed Mr. DeGrazia that no response had been received in response to the restriction requirement mailed 8/5/04. Mr. DeGrazia informed the Examiner that the application had been transferred to another law firm.

Organization Bldg./Room UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450 If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Official Business Penalty For Private Use, \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER





22313/1450 heldeldellendellendeldeldeldeldeldel